Previous references.

File No. 57
of 1904-1
1 — 8

190 (

(Ill prisons)

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1 — 8

PART B. FOR May 1905

SUBJECT.

under-trial prisoners to be shaved or clipped periodically.

FILE No. 57 of 1904-05.

Under-trial prisoners to be shaved or clipped periodically.

1 From the Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore, letter No. 269—J, dated 20th February 1905.
Requests that the under-trial prisoners who on admission were clipped or shaved may be continued to be done so, periodically, as they desire.

2 To the same letter No. J. 2524, dated 6th March 1905.
Invites his attention to rule No. 940, of the Madras Jail Manual on the above subject and requests to be informed whether the Madras rule can be substituted to rule 3 of the Lock-up rules.

3 From the same letter No. 421—J, dated 9th March 1905.
Replies that the Madras rule referred to does not cover the requirements of the case and proposes an amendment to be incorporated in the new Jail Manual.

G. R. S. 11] 1905.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(Prisons)

NOTES.

File No. 57 of 04-05

FILE HEADING.

Under-trial prisoners to be shaved and clipped periodically.

From

The Inspector-General of Prisons, in Mysore.

No. 269/J Dated 20.2.05 Received 21.2.05

Diary No. 1770	Enclos. -	Spare Copies. -	Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Request to obtain early orders of Govt. for the alteration of ~~the~~ rule no: 3 of the lockup rules to allow the under-trial prisoners who on admission were shaved or clipped, ~~may to be~~ continued to be shaved or clipped periodically, as they may desire.

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Rule 3 of the Lockup Rules as it now stands forbids prisoners to have their hair cut or their beard clipped or shaved. The Inspector General suggests that prisoners who on admission were shaved or clipped, should continue to be shaved or

NOTES—Finished

MGCPB—25,000—18-8-04

Flag O

clipped periodically. The Madras rule on the subject are contained in Rule 940 of the Madras Jail Manual, which is reproduced below: —

"Under trial prisoners shall not be allowed to crop their hair or in any way alter their personal appearance so as to make it difficult to recognize them. But when the Medical Officer deems it necessary on the ground of dirt or vermin, the hair of such prisoner may be cut, but it shall not be cut closer than is necessary for the purpose of health and cleanliness".

Subd for orders.

SW 27/2/05 What is the corresponding Rule for under-trial prisoners in the Bangalore & Mysore Jails?

27.2.05

Subd.

3 There is no ~~such~~ corresponding rule on the subject in the Jail Manual, (vide Rules 115 to 124, both inclusive).

SW 27/2/05 I invite the Dy's attention to the Madras Rule 940, & enquire if he may in his opinion, be substituted for Rule 3 of the Local Jail Rules, and also inserted as a new rule between Rules 115 and 116 of the Jail Manual.

27.2.05

× Flag Q.

R.S. 12]
General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 57 of 1904-05

Serial No.

1

RS 8/3/05

Subd with a draft letter accordingly

SW 28/2/05

5. Passed

Secy 28.2.1905

Secy 28.2.1905

To

2 The D.G of Prisons, in Mysore.

Letter no: J 2524 Dated 6.3.05.

Invites his attention to Ru 940 of the Madras gail manual in the above subject, and requests to be info of the whether the Madras rule can be substituted for rule 3 of Lock up

From

3 The same

* Letter under Proposal -

Letter no: X 421/J Dated 9.3.05.

Replies that the Madras gail rule no: 940 does not cover the requirements of the case and proposes the following amendment for incorporation in the new gail manual,

" The personal appearance of under-trial prisoners is to be preserved as far as possible, so that they may continue to be easily identified; with this object, all shaving and clipping of the hair is to be carried out so as to maintain the hair at the same length it was when

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

the individual was admitted".
"But when the medical officer deems it necessary, on sanitary grounds, the hair of any prisoner may be cut, but not closer than is necessary to effect the desired object".

Subd for orders.
SN
16/3/05

6. The revised rule suggested seems all right & may be approved —
J Rutherford
21.3.05

Draft put up
4
24/3/05

Agd
25.3.05

To the 2d Councillor in the first instance
28.3.1905

22
29.3.05.

The proposed rules is an improvement on the Madras rules & may be approved.

To the Registrar for circulation
31/3/05
31.3.1905

Council Clerk

Note.—Contin. sheet

Agd
1/4/05
mm le
1/4/05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 5'7 of 1904-05.

Serial No.

First Councillor's opinion.

10 I agree but I am afraid the Inspector General's proposals for modification of the existing Rules are becoming frequent. I was under the impression that, under Government orders, Col Bensc was preparing a fresh Code. The sooner the Rules as a whole are recast the better.

(Sd). C. Sreenivasiengar

2-4-1905.

Obtain from the Jail. 11

11 What was the cause of this motion was there any real cause. Has caused any practical inconv what has become of the Jail Code about which so much was said. Let me know th particulars.

5-917 10.4.05

Ld. Branch III

10/4/1905

12 Subd with a draft docket Callin for the above information. 13 June 4/11-4.05

11/4/05

Note—Contn. sheet.

Serial No.

4 Letter No 2241 dated the 12th April 1905 to the D. I. G. of Prisons

Requests to explain what the occation was for a modification of the existing Rule on the subject & whether there was any case of practical inconvenience in enforcing it.

5. Letter No 628/2 dated the 19th April 1905 from the Inspector General of Prisons

States that his attention was drawn to the matter on being informed by the Superintendent Central Jail that many ~~prisoner~~ undertrial prisoners desired to have their heads shaved; that the rule is necessary for the preservation of identity and cleanliness.

// Submitted for orders with reference to the Devans order dated the 10th April 1905. As regards the 2nd query as to what became of the Jail Code, it is Submitted that the Manual is being revised by the D.I. of Prisons, who had forwarded for approval four chapters, which too were duly revised in this office & returned to him. The whole Code when thus revised will be placed before Government

Serial No.

for final approval.

SK. 15 The Inspector General is ~~of~~ opinion that the Assembly, ~~Courts~~ ~~of~~ ~~Amendm~~ individual rules suitably, which is furnished by the face of the Jail Manual being under revision. Hence, probably, ~~he~~ his frequent references of late for modifying the existing rules.

16 Orders are required as to whether the draft rule re: Wearing of prisoner may be approved. The draft-order already put up is in the file. (Sec'y)

25/4/05

Submitted to the Devanshab

Rec'd 27.4.05

25/4/1905

17 Amendment if any of the rules as may require modification will be made in the discussion of the Manual in the Leg. of Prisons and also subject amendments as may come to be necessary on any alteration in the existing system of the Inspectors

G.R.S. 12j

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 57 of 1904

Serial No.

on this subject is to be
communicated without
waiting for the whole
Municipal Scheme which
must take for its perfection
this work will take time
and in the meantime
practical difficulties
may arise and the
Government may think
it desirable to have
the Act enforced in
the Municipalities before
the whole Scheme
is ready. Recommended
accordingly.

27/4/05.

6198
27.4.05

Submitted to His Highness.
If the alteration of the rule
may be sanctioned -
the altered rule will
read as under thus:-
30.4.05

'' The personal appearance of under-trial prisoners shall be preserved, as far as possible, so that they may continue to be easily identified; with this object, all shaving and clipping of hair shall be carried out so as to maintain their hair at the same leng as it was when the individual was admitted''.

'' But when theMedical Officer deems it necessary on sanitary grounds, the hair of any prisoner may be cut, but not closer than is necessary to effect the desired object".

Pursons 5 7 1904

Record of Case submitted for the orders of His Highness the Maharaja.

Subject.

Insertion of a rule in the Jail Manual authorizing the shaving and clipping of the hair of prisoners whenever it is deemed necessary to do so.

Order.

I Approved

~~KRW~~

3/5/05

20 Order and

3.V.05

Note the [illegible] in the file

3/5/05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 57 of 1904-05

Serial No. 6

To

The IG of Prisons, in Mysore

The District Magistrates, &

Go No. J 3130-40/Pris 57-6, dated 6.5.05

Sanctions the insertion of the new rule suggested by the IG of Prisons as regards the above, in the Mysore Jail Manual, as rule 115A, and the substitution of the same for Rule 3 of Lock up Rules.

From

7 The IG of Prisons, in Mysore

Letter No: 759/J dated 10.5.05

States that the word "the hair" after the word X "maintain and before the word "at" in the first para of the proposed Rule in the above, have been corrected into "the same" and requests that the original wording "the hair" being more elegant may be allowed to remain & requests 350 copies of the proposed Rule may be caused & the same supplied to him for distn

Subd for orders.

X vide flag Y (Serial no: 6).

2/ The alteration suggested is merely verbal. Subd with a draft docketed to the Supdt. Govt Press, asking him to print the Rule separately & supply 350 copies to the IG. as desired by the latter.

SN 11/5/05

Though not an impt., it may be accepted & Supdt. asked to print the Rule separately & supply 350 copies to the IG. as desired by the latter.

B Rice 12/5/05

Lee 17.5.05

17.5.1905

MGCPB—50,000—17-2-05

G.R.S. 12]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 57 of 1904-05

Serial No.

8.

To

The Superintendent Govt Press. Mysore

Letter no: J 3306/Pris 57-8/ Dated 18.5.05.

Requests that the rule in question be printed separately with the correction "their hair" as ~~marked~~ shown in the letter & that 350 copies thereof be supplied to the Superintendent of Prisons & 10 copies to the Secretariat.

Copy to the Supdt of Prisons, Mysore no: J 3307 dated the 18th May 1905.

Judicial 1
A no 1 . 9/1770

No. 269 Office of the Inspr. Genl. of Prisons in Mysore,
of

Dated Bangalore, 18th February 1905.
20.

From

Lt.-Colonel J.Smyth M.D., I.M.S.,

Offg. Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore.

To

B.K.Venkatavarada Iyengar Esqr.

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

According to Rules in force in the Lock-ups of the State, prisoners confined therein, are not allowed to have their hair cut or their beard clipped or shaved - a procedure causing them much inconvenience.

2. Rule 3 of the Lock-up Rules, therefore, requires to be altered so as to allow prisoners who, on admission, were shaved or clipped, may continue to be shaved and clipped periodically as they may desire. This will not only preserve their identity, but will be a most desirable hygienic procedure.

3. I have therefore the honor to request that you will be so good as to obtain early orders of Government for the alteration of the Rule in question, and to instruct the Superintendent of Government Printing, to supply this Office with 250 printed slips of the altered Rule for distribution among the Superintendents and Lock-up Officers.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M.D.,

Lt.-Colonel I.M.S.,

Offg. Inspector General of Prisons.

Prisons
111 new 21/2/05

1905.

£1776
File no: S
Serial - 1

From

The Offg: Inspr Genl of Prisons
in Mysore.

To

The Secy: to Govt
Genl & Rev Depth
Bangalore.

Dated 18th Febry: 05.

Despatched 20

Received

General No. 269
No. 17

Enclosure No.

Requests to obtain early orders of Govt for the alteration of the rule 3 of the Lock-up rules to allow the under-trial prisoners who on admission were shaved or clipped may continue to be shaved & clipped periodically as they may desire.

G.R.S. 26]

Prisons
File No. 57-1905
Serial No. 2-

Diary No. of letter replied to

No. J 2524 dt 6.3.1905
Prisons 57-2

General and Revenue Secretariat
(Prisons)
the 190 .

To
The Inspector General of
Prisons in Mysore.
Bangalore.

Date of issue 6.3.1905

Signed by Mr. Shama Rao

Sir,

Adverting to your letter No. 269-J dated the 28th February 1905, requesting that rule 3 of the Lock-up Rules may be altered so as to allow prisoners who on admission were shaved or clipped, to continue to be shaved or clipped periodically as they may desire, I am directed to invite your attention to Rule 940 of the Madras Jail Manual on the subject and to request to be informed whether that Rule may

over.

in your opinion, be substituted for Rule 3 of the Lock up Rules & also inserted as a new rule between Rules 115 & 116 of the Mysore Jail Manual.

Chief Secretary

28/2/05

28/2/05

28/2/05 Secretary

28/2/05

Copied by S.K.A. 3/3/05

Examined by 3/3/05

File No. 57 & No. 3. J 1931

No. 421/J Office of the Inspr. Genl. of Prisons in Mysore,

Dated Bangalore, 9th March 1905. 13

From

Lt.-Colonel J.Smyth M.D., I.M.S.,

Offg. Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore.

To

B.K.Venkatavarada Iyengar Esqr.

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your letter No.2024/Prisons.57-2 dated the 6th March 1905, inviting my attention to Rule 940 of the Madras Jail Manual on the subject of the under-trial prisoners being shaved or their hair clipped and requesting to be informed whether that Rule may, in my opinion, be substituted for Rule 3 of the Lock-up Rules and also inserted as a new Rule between Rules 115 and 116 of the Mysore Jail Manual, I have the honor to state that the Madras Rule does not cover the requirements of the case and propose therefore the following, for incorporation as suggested in the Mysore Jail Manual between Rule 115 and 116 and for substitution to Rule 3 of the Lock-up Rules.

"The personal appearance of under-trial prisoners ~~is to~~ shall be preserved, as far as possible, so that they may continue to be easily identified, with this object, all shaving and clipping of the hair ~~is to~~ all be carried out so as to maintain their hair at the same length as it was when the individual was admitted."

"But when the Medical Officer deems it necessary, on sanitary grounds, the hair of any prisoner may be cut, but not closer than is necessary to effect the desired object."

2. I request therefore that early orders of Government

may

III
Prisons 14/3/05

1905 H/31
Files: 57
Serial no: 3.

From
The Inspector General of
Prisons in Burma

To
The Secretary to
Govt. (Genl & Rev Depts

Dated 9.3.05

Despatched 13th

Received

General No. 421
No. 9

Enclosure No.

Requests that orders
of Govt may be kindly
obtained for the amend-
ment proposed in re
the clipping or shaving
of the hair of the
under trial prisoners
to be inserted in the
Burma Jail Manual

may be kindly obtained for the above.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M.D.,

Lt.-Colonel I.M.S.,

Offg. Inspector General of Prisons

T.C.G.

Prisons

G.2.S. 26]

File No. 57

Serial No 4

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No. J 2961

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Prisons)
190 .

To

The Inspector General of
Prisons in Mysore,
Bangalore.

Date of issue 17-4-05

Signed by Mr. K. S. Seshachalam
Sekhanadyar

His letter No. 421 dated the 9th March 1905, suggesting a modification of rule 3 of the Lock-up Rules on the subject of shaving or clipping of the hairs of prisoners.

Adverting to the above I have the honour to request that you will be kindly explain why the occasion was for a modification of the existing rule on the subject, is necessary

over

SUBJECT.

Whether there was actually any
case in which practical
inconvenience was caused by the
working rule being enforced. If so,
particulars might be furnished
Regarder

1/1/08

SN
11 Tulse

List of enclosures,

Copied by 11/4/05

Examined by SN
12 IL

file no 57 Sl. no 5. J2201

No. 628/J Office of the Inspr. Genl. of Prisons in Mysore,

Dated Bangalore, 19th April 1905. 20/4

From

Lt.-Colonel J.Smyth M.D., I.M.S.,

Offg. Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore.

To

B.K.Venkatavarada Iyengar Esqr.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

In reply to your No.J.2941/Pris.57-4 dated the 12th April 1905, requesting me to explain what the occasion was for a modification of the existing rule 3 of the Lock-up Rules on the subject of shaving or clipping hairs of prisoners and whether there was actually any case in which practical inconvenience was caused &ca., I have the honor to state that my attention was drawn to the matter on being informed by the Superintendent Bangalore Central Jail that many under-trials desired to have their heads shaved. I accordingly consulted our own Manual as well as that of the Madras Jail and found that neither possessed a rule that covered all that was required viz., the preservation of identity and cleanliness. Hence the new rule or modificatic of rule 3 of the Lock-up Rules as also the substitution of article 208 of the Mysore Jail Manual. The substituted rule may be inserted under articles 115 and 116 of the said Manual as already suggested in my letter No.421/J dated the 9th March 1905.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M.D.,
Lt.-Colonel I.M.S.,
Offg. Inspector General of Prisons.

Prisons Circulars £2201

1905

FROM

The Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore.

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore Genl. and Rev. Depts.

Dated 19th April 1905

Despatched 20th — do —

Received

General No. 628

No. 5

Enclosure No.

Explains the occasion for a modification of the existing rule 3 of the Lock-up Rules on the subject of shaving or clipping hairs of prisoners.

G.R.S. 28]

File No. 57
Serial No. 6.

Diary No. of letter replied to ———

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.
(Prisons)

, the 6/5/05 190

Date of issue 6/5/05

Signed by Hon'ble K.S. Chandrasekhara Aiyar

READ.—

Correspondence ending with letter No. 421/J, dated the 9th March 1905, from the Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore, recommending the alteration of Rule 3. of the Lock-up Rules.

ORDER THEREON.—No. J 3130/P:57-6 8/6/5

It is represented that a strict enforcement of the existing Rule causes much inconvenience to ~~the~~ under-trial prisoners in as much as they are prohibited from ~~the~~ having the hair cut or their beard clipped or

SUBJECT

or shaved for fear of their identity being lost. Moreover Rule 940 of the Madras Jail Manual, which allows an under-trial prisoner to have his hair cut for the purposes of health and cleanliness only when the Medical officer deems it necessary, does not fully answer the requirements of the case. The Inspector General of Prisons, therefore, suggests the adoption of a new Rule as noted hereunder, to be incorporated in the Mysore Jail Manual between Rule 115—Reg 9 and Rule 116 and substituted for Rule 3 of the Lock-up Rules.

"The personal appearance of the under-trial prisoners shall be preserved, as far as possible, so that they may continue to be easily identified; with this object, 115-A

G.R.S. 27]

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

(*Continuation Sheet draft form.*)

all shaving and clipping of the hair shall be carried out so as to maintain the hair at the same length as it was when the prisoner was admitted."

"But when the Medical Officer deems it necessary on sanitary grounds, the hair of any prisoner may be cut, but not closer than is necessary to effect the desired object."

2. The suggestion of the Inspector General of Prisons commends itself to Govt and the Rule proposed is accordingly approved. In inserting it in the Jail Manual it will be numbered as 115. A.

H. A. Smith 24/3/05 — 25/3/05 Secy 28.3.1905

Donelly 5.5.05

Copied dff 5/5/05.
Examined. SH 5/5/05

To The Inspector General of Prisons, Mysore

No. 759/J Office of the Inspr. Genl. of Prisons in Mysore,

Dated Bangalore, 10th May 1905.

11/5

From

Lt.-Colonel J.Smyth M.D., I.M.S.,

Offg. Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore.

To

S.K.Venkataravarada Iyengar Esqr.,

Secretary to the Government of

His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,

General and Revenue Departments.

Sir,

Adverting to the Proceedings of Government No. J.3130-40 Pris.57-6 dated the 6th May 1905, approving of the insertion in the Mysore Jail Manual between Rule 115 and Rule 116 of a new Rule as 115 A, on the subject of under-trial prisoners being shaved or their hair clipped, I have the honor to invite a reference to the last line but one in the 1st para of the proposed Rule. In this line, the words "the hair" after the word "maintain" and before the word "at" have, it is observed, been corrected into"the same". I request that my original wording "the hair" being more elegant be allowed to remain.

2. 350 copies of the proposed Rule may be kindly caused to be printed and supplied, so as to enable me to have them circulated among Superintendents of Jails and Lock-up Officers, for their guidance.

I have the honor to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

M.D.,

Lt.-Colonel I.M.S.,

Offg. Inspector General of Prisons.

Prisons III 2338 17/5/05

1905 File No: 57 Serial No. 1

FROM

The Inspector General of Prisons in Mysore

To

The Secretary to the Govt. of H.H. the Maharaja of Mysore Genl and Rev. Depts.

Dated 10th May 1905

Despatched 11th do

Received

General, No. 759

No. J

Enclosure No.

Requests that the words or the hair "on the subject under-trial prisoners shaved or their hair cliped in the new Rule 115 A of the Mysore Jail Manual sanctioned in Govt. Proceedings No. J 3130-40 dated 6th Instant Pris 57-3 to remain, instead of the Correction made into "the same."

Prisons
Police

G.R.S. 26]

File No. 57

Serial No 8

Diary No. of letter replied to _____

No. J 3306 9/18/5/05
Pris: 57-8

General and Rev. Secretariat
(Prisons)
the 18/5/ 1905.

The Superintendent, Govt Press

Date of issue 18/5/05

Signed by M.S. K. C. Chandrasekhara Ayyar

Has the honour to request that the rule sanctioned in Govt order No J 3130/Pr:57-6 d the 6th May'05 to be inserted as Rule 115 A of the Mysore Jail Manual and substituted for Rule 3 of the Lock-up Rules, which is reproduced in the margin, with slight alteration may be caused to be printed separately and 350 copies thereof supplied to the Inspector General of Prisons and 10 copies to the Secretariat. Similar correction being made in the order also. —

By order

17/5/1905
Secretary

"a The personal appearance of under-trial prisoners shall be preserved as far as possible, so that they may continue to be easily identified; with this object, all shaving and clipping of hair shall be carried out so as to maintain ~~the~~ his hair at the same length as it was when the individual was admitted.

But when the Medical officer deems it necessary on sanitary grounds, the hair of any prisoner may be cut, but not closer than is necessary to effect the desired object."

SUBJECT.

XJ 3307 9/18/5/05
Pris: 57-8

X. Copy to the I.G of Prisons in Mysore for information with reference to his letter No 759, dated the 10th May 1905. Similar correction may be made in the order of the 6th May 1905.

By order

18/5/05

for Secy